

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
STATESBORO DIVISION

MICHAEL K. JAMISON,

Plaintiff,

v.

605CV066

LT. REGINALD T. LANGSTON,

Defendant.

O R D E R

In this Eighth Amendment, prisoner-beating case brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, inmate/plaintiff Michael K. Jamison basically follows Randy Thomas Hooks in pursuing an excessive-force case against defendant Reginald T. Langston. *See* doc. # 1 ¶¶ 6-10 (alleging that Langston viciously and without cause beat him).

As in *Hooks v. Langston*, 605CV065 (S.D.Ga.), which is also going to trial alongside this case, Langston moves *in limine* to exclude testimony and documents from trial, doc. ## 60, 61. In that the issues are the same and the documents at issue are (in substance) similar enough, the reasoning set forth in the companion *Hooks* Order issued this day applies here.

Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** in part and **DENIES** in part defendant Reginald T. Langston's motion *in limine*.¹ Doc. # 60. His

¹ Note that in the companion *Hooks* Order the Court agreed with Langston there that Hooks may not sneak medical-causation evidence into this case by way of his own lay testimony, though the Court had previously "agree[d] with Hooks that treating physician testimony is admissible on plaintiff's claimed physical injuries." *Hooks*, 605CV066 doc. # 106 at 14. Here, Langston points out, *no* treating physician has been identified; Langston thus argues so this even more forcefully seals Jamison off from any attempted lay-testimony "funneling." Doc. # 60 at 8. However, a treating physician is exempt from Rule 26(a)(2)(B)'s reporting requirements to the extent his opinions are "related to information disclosed

Objections (doc. # 61) plaintiff Randy Thomas Hooks's trial exhibits² are **SUSTAINED**. Finally, the Court **GRANTS** Hooks's deposition-admission motion, doc. # 65, and **DENIES** as moot Jamison's motion for production of witnesses (doc. # 62) because the Deputy Clerk informs the Court of an informal work-around for that item (the State will transport those witnesses to trial).

This 25th day of June, 2007.


B. AVANT EDENFIELD, JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

2007 JUN 25 AM 9:11
CLERK 
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SAVANNAH DIV.
SO. DIST. OF GA.

during the care and treatment of plaintiff." *Brown v. Best Foods, A Division of CPC Intern., Inc.*, 169 F.R.D. 385, 389 (N.D.Ala. 1996). So long as Jamison can fit the treating physician to which he adverts (doc. # 63 at 5-6) within that exemption, this objection is overruled. Otherwise it is sustained.

² Specifically, Jamison's exh. P-1 (Inmate Grievance Form); P-2 (Grievance Appeal form); P-5 (Jamison's 7/4/05 letter to his lawyer); P-6 (Cardell Aff.); and P-8 thru P-15 (other inmates' narrative statements of abuse by Langston and other correction officers).